STEREOSPECIFIC SYNTHESIS OF DINOSTEROL Arthur Y. L. Shu and Carl Djerassi\* Department of Chemistry, Stanford, University Stanford, California 94305

<u>Abstract</u>: Using a Claisen ortho-ester rearrangement, the biogenetically important marine sterol dinosterol and its C-24 epimer were synthesized stereospecifically by a sequence which is also attractive for selective isotope labelling in the side chain.

Dinosterol was first isolated from the toxic dinoflagellate, <u>Gonyaulax tamarensis</u>, by Shimizu <u>et al</u><sup>1</sup> and its stereostructure <u>12</u> was established unequivocally by X-ray crystallography.<sup>2</sup> Dinosterol (<u>12</u>) and/or its naturally occurring<sup>3</sup>  $\Delta^5$ -3 $\beta$ -hydroxy analog <u>14</u> have been proposed<sup>1,2,4,5</sup> as possible key precursors in the biosynthesis of the important marine sterol gorgosterol (<u>15</u>).<sup>4</sup> In order to verify such proposals, radioactively labelled dinosterol would have to be synthesized. We now report the first synthesis of dinosterol (<u>12</u>) which is equally applicable to the synthesis of <u>14</u>; most importantly, it lends itself readily to the selective incorporation of isotopic tracers in the side chain.

The starting alcohol <u>1</u> (mp 193-194°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +26.6 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)), obtained by ozonolysis of the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether of  $4\alpha$ -methyl- $5\alpha$ -dihydrostigmasterol<sup>6</sup> and subsequent NaBH<sub>4</sub> reduction, was oxidized with pyridinium dichromate<sup>7</sup> to the corresponding aldehyde which was immediately condensed at -78°C with the vinyl lithium reagent prepared from n-BuL1 and (E)-2-iodo-2-butene at -60°C.<sup>8</sup> The vinyl iodide in turn was synthesized by the addition of catecholborane to 2-butyne at 70°C, followed by hydrolysis and treatment of the boranediol with NaOH and I<sub>2</sub>.<sup>9</sup> The condensation gave two allylic alcohols easily separable on silica gel: the less polar 22R alcohol <u>2</u> (73% yield, mp 171-178°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +11.9 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), <u>m/z</u> 516.4354), and the more polar 22S epimer <u>3</u> (9% yield, mp 167-170°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +30.5 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), <u>m/z</u> 516.4306). The configurational assignment was based upon further conversions (vide infra) of each epimer to the final sterols through the well-known Claisen rearrangement which transferred the chirality from C-22 to C-24 with concomitant formation of the <u>trans</u>  $\Delta^{22}$  double bond.<sup>10</sup> The stereochemical assignment is also consistent with the fact that condensation of a similar vinyl lithium reagent and aldehyde yielded<sup>11</sup> as the major product a 22-alcohol with the same absolute configuration as <u>2</u>.

Claisen rearrangement of <u>2</u> with triethyl orthopropionate<sup>12</sup> and subsequent deprotection of the silyl ether with  $\text{LiBF}_4^{13}$  gave a mixture of C-25 epimeric esters, which were separated by reverse phase HPLC (column: Whatman Partisil M9 10/50 0DS-2; eluent: absolute MeOH): <u>4a</u> (44% yield from <u>2</u>, mp 159-160°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +4.6 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), <u>m/z</u> 486.4111), and <u>4b</u> (22% yield, mp 211-214°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  -7 1 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>), <u>m/z</u> 486.4060). Similar transformation of <u>3</u> and HPLC separation provided <u>5a</u> (50%

4627

4628		

		Table 1. Physical properties of synthetic products <u>6a-13</u>							
Compound	mp°C	[α] <sup>20</sup> (СНС1 <sub>3</sub> )	<u>m/z</u>	Compound	mp°C	[а] <sup>20</sup> (снсі <sub>3</sub> )	<u>m/z</u>		
<u>6a</u>	145- 146	+12.3	600.4891	<u>8a</u>	178- 181	+9.7	558.4820		
<u>6b</u>	187- 189	+3.7	600.4934	<u>8b</u>	202- 206	+12.7	558.4910		
<u>7a</u>	122- 124	+22.4	600.4961	<u>9a</u>	215- 220	+28.5	558.4828		
10	193- 196	+9.6	542.4935	12 <sup>a</sup>	211- 214	-2.2	428.4018		
<u>11</u>	210- 212	+29.0	542.4829	<u>13</u>	218- 221	+23.3	428.4015		

yield, mp 163-164°C,  $[\alpha]_D^{20}$  +11.9 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\underline{m}/\underline{z}$  486.4056); the other C-25 entries, 5b, could not be obtained in pure form.

<sup>a</sup> Ref. 1 reports mp 220-222°C (CHCl<sub>3</sub>-MeOH),  $[\alpha]_{D} \pm 5$  (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) for the natural product. A sample of dinosterol isolated in our laboratory from the cultured zooxanthellae of the gorgonian <u>Briareum asbestinum</u> and purified by HPLC exhibited mp 212-215°C (hot MeOH),  $[\alpha]_{D}^{\alpha}$  -1 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>).

Each of the isomeric hydroxy ester (4a, 4b, 5a) was then subjected to a three-step transformation sequence: protection of the 3ß-hydroxy group with tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride<sup>14</sup> (90% yield of <u>6a, 6b, 7a</u>), lithium aluminum hydride reduction of the C-26 ester function (85% yield of <u>8a, 8b, 9a</u>), mesylation<sup>15</sup> and subsequent reduction with lithium aluminum hydride (93% yield of <u>10</u> and <u>11</u>). With the resulting destruction of the C-25 epimeric center, the products (<u>10</u>) from <u>8a</u> and <u>8b</u> were identical by NMR comparison. Finally deprotection of the silyl ethers gave the free sterols (94% yield) <u>12</u> and <u>13</u>. Both exhibited the same GC mobility (3% OV-17, 260°C) as that of natural dinosterol but were separable by reverse phase HPLC (retention times: <u>12</u>, 1.48; <u>13</u>, 1.39; cholesterol, 1.00). The physical constants are listed in Table I; unambiguous differentation could be accomplished by 360 MHz NMR comparison (cf. Table II).

Table II. <sup>1</sup>H Chemical Shifts of dinosterol (<u>12</u>) and its C-24 epimer <u>13</u> (360 MHz, CDC1<sub>3</sub>/TMS, coupling constants J in Hz)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Isomer	<u>C-18(s</u> )	<u>C-19(s</u> )	<u>C-21(d</u> ) <sup>a</sup>	<u>C-22H(d</u> )
12(nat.)	0.678	0.826	0.917(J=6.5)	4.872(J=9.7)
12(synth.)	0.680	0.827	0.919(J=6.5)	4.873(J=9.7)
13	0.683	0.827	0.910(J=6.8)	4.875(J=9.7)
	C-26(d) or C-27(d) <sup>b</sup>	<u>C-28(d</u> )	<u>C-29(d</u> )	<u>C-30(d</u> )
12(nat.)	0.777(J=6.8)/0.835(J=6.5)	0.927(J=6.5)	1.495(J=1.1)	0.945(J=6.5)
12(synth.)	0.778(J=6.8)/0.837(J=6.5)	0.928(J=6.5)	1.495(J=0.7)	0.946(J=6.1)
13	0.772(J=6.8)/0.848(J=6.5)	0.938(J=6.8)	1.484(J=1.1)	0.945(J=6.5)

a C-21 was determined by a decoupling experiment involving irradiation of the allylic proton around 2.3 ppm in both isomers.

C-26 and C-27 were determined by their simultaneous collapse when irradiation occurred around 1.5 ppm.



4630

By replacing lithium aluminum hydride with the corresponding tritium or deuterium analog in one or both of the terminal reduction steps  $(6,7 \rightarrow 8,9 \rightarrow 10,11)$ , appropriate tritium or deuterium labelling can be effected. Furthermore, by applying the identical reaction sequence to the well-known<sup>16</sup> i-methyl ether of the aldehyde 16, the naturally occurring<sup>3</sup> 23,24-dimethyl-22-dehydrocholesterol (14) and its 24-epimer were also synthesized in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements: Financial support was provided by NIH Grants No. GM-06840 and GM-28352. Use of the NMR/MS center at the Stanford 360-MHz facility (NSF Grant No. GP-23633 and NIH Grant No. RR-0711) is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr. W.C.M.C. Kokke for the isolation of the natural dinosterol, Dr. L. Durham for the NMR spectra and Ms. A. Wegmann for mass spectral determinations.

## REFERENCES

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.
- 4.
- Y. Shimizu, M. Alam, and A. Kobayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 1059 (1976).
  J. Finer, J. Clardy, A. Kobayashi, M. Alam, and Y. Shimizu, J. Org. Chem., 43, 1990 (1978).
  A. Kanazawa, S. Teshima, and T. Ando, Comp. Biochem. Physiol., 57B, 317 (1977).
  N. C. Ling, R. L. Hale, and C. Djerassi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 92, 5281 (1970).
  C. Djerassi, N. Theobald, W.C.M.C. Kokke, C. S. Pak, and R.M.K. Carlson, Pure & Appl. Chem., 51, 1815 (1979); C. Djerassi, ibid., 53, 873 (1981).
  F. J. Knapp and G. J. Schroepfer, Steroids, 26, 339 (1975). 5.
- 6.
- 7.
- E. J. Corey and G. Schmidt, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 399 (1979).
  G. Cahiez, D. Bernard, and J. F. Normant, <u>Synthesis</u>, 245 (1976). 8.
- 9.
- H. C. Brown, T. Hamaoka, and N. Ravindran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 95, 5786 (1973).
  W. Sucrow and B. Girgensohn, <u>Chem. Ber.</u>, 103, 750 (1970); W. Sucrow, B. Schubert, W. Richter, and M. Slopianka, <u>ibid.</u>, 104, 3689 (1971); W. Sucrow, P. P. Caldeira, and M. Slopianka, <u>ibid.</u>, 106, 2236 (1973). 10.
- 11. J. R. Wiersig, N. Waespe-Sarcevic, and C. Djerassi, <u>J. Org. Chem</u>., <u>44</u>, 3374 (1979).
- I. J. Bolton, R. G. Harrison, and B. Lythgoe, <u>J. Chem. Soc. C</u>, 2950 (1971). B. W. Metcalf, J. P. Burkhart, and. K. Jund, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 35 (1980). 12.
- 13.
- 14.
- 15.
- E. J. Corey and A. Venkateswarlu, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>94</u>, 6190 (1972).
  R. K. Crossland and K. L. Servis, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>35</u>, 3195 (1970).
  D. J. Vanderah and C. Djerassi, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, <u>43</u>, 1442 (1978) and references cited therein. 16.

(Received in USA 17 August 1981)